

Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
	10/529,972	SARFATI ET AL.	

All Participants:

Status of Application: Final

(1) Phillip H. Nguyen

(3) Seema M. Mehta (Reg. No. 56,235)

(2) _____

(4) _____

Date of Interview: 18 January 2008

Time: around 1:00 PM

Type of Interview:

Telephonic
 Video Conference
 Personal (Copy given to: Applicant Applicant's representative)

Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: Yes No

If Yes, provide a brief description:

Part I.

Rejection(s) discussed:

102

Claims discussed:

1-3 and 11-13

Prior art documents discussed:

Scheifler et al (US 6,901,518)

Part II.

SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:

See Continuation Sheet

Part III.

It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.
 It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.

(Examiner/SPE Signature)

(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)

Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was discussed: A telephone interview with Seema Mehta on January 18, 2008 to discuss the allowable subject matter of claims 3, 12 and 13 if rewritten in independent form including all of the limitations of the base claims. An authorization for examiner's amendment was given by Seema M. Mehta on 1/18/2008. The examiner's amendment is necessitated for further clarifying the claimed invention..